

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 3-22, 24-37 are now pending in the current application. The Examiner has agreed during the examiners interview, that the restriction requirement between Group I and Group II would be withdrawn.

The Applicant has amended Claims 1 and 22 to incorporate the features of Claims 2 and 23 respectfully and also has replaced “a second group having at least one hub antenna” to a second group having a plurality of hub antennas” to remove an ambiguity perceived by the Examiner.

The Applicant has also amended Claim 36 similarly to Claims 1 and 22 as discussed in the interview.

Claims 38-90 have been cancelled without prejudice.

No new matter has been added.


### **CLAIM REJECTIONS**

The Applicant demonstrated in the interview, that the prior art of Dent, Campanella, Anderson, Webb, Moura or Herman alone or in combination do not show, teach or suggest the features of Claims 1, 22 and 36, specifically with regards to differently Azimuthal coverage of the groups of hub antennas. For example, in Dent the antenna elements all have the same azimuthal coverage as well as each group.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the Amendments and interview, the Applicant submits the Application including Claims 1, 2-22, 24-37 are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark C. Comtois", written over a horizontal line.

Mark C. Comtois	Reg. No. 46,285
L. Lawton Rogers, III	Reg. No. 24,302
D. Joseph English	Reg. No. 42,514
Patrick D. McPherson	Reg. No. 46,255
Attorneys at Law	

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
1667 K Street, N.W., Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Telephone: (202) 776-7800  
Facsimile: (202) 776-7801

Dated: November 30, 2005